Available

471003,

(l), , B 100871,

d /l , B , , B 100871,

d 3 2014; d d N¤ 6 A 2014; a Np d 2 Np N¤b 2014

KEYWORDS
Al N¤ a;
A a N¤ ;
;

Np lN¤ Np N¤;
5 1A

Summary d a d a d a al N¤ a a a d a a lab l
b a ad a a N¤ a . Np d b fid
a Np 5 1A N¤ d la l l b a , d a d
a a Np lN¤ Np N¤ (C 1019 , 629302 N¤ .569 ..21 .000200 .0002352.1287382.4665 1 (3

Nb a Nba , a Npd Nb
Npa NppNba (al., 1992; B ba
al., 2006), ad ab 8% alNb Nb la
(alNpb al., 2009; a al., 2010; D
al., 2013). d ab l d a a la Nb

2.3. Attachment test

Attachment components were measured with the Chinese version (Wu

Figure 1 Effects of 5-HT1A C-1019G polymorphism on alexithymia and close attachment orientation. (A) Individuals with the CC genotype (N = 316) had a lower mean total score on the 20-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20) than individuals with the CG/GG genotypes (N = 188). (B) Individuals with the CC genotype reported a lower mean total score on the Dif“culty Identifying Feelings (DIF) subscale of TAS-20 than individuals with the CG/GG genotype. (C) Individuals with the CC genotype reported a higher mean score on the close subscale of Revised Adult Attachment Scale than individuals with the CG/GG genotype. Standard errors of the means are shown as error bars.

bonding and entered them as covariates into hierarchical regression (see Supplementary Materials). The effects of 5-HT1A genotype remained to be signi“cant.

4. DisaTj ET /GS2 gs BT /F1 1 Tf 8.9664 0 0 8.9664 199.6122 529.471 Tm 82.9554 Tm (be7GS2BT /9 0 0 10.95